

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,017	09/12/2003	Paul E. McKenney	BEA920030005US1 6787		
49474 LAW OFFICE	7590 01/17/2008 S OF MICHAEL DRYJA	EXAMINER			
1474 N COOPER RD #105-248			OKORONKWO, CHINWENDU C		
GILBERT, AZ 85233			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2136	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	01/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
λ>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>\p</i> // ∨			
		10/661,017	MCKENNEY, PAUL E.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Chinwendu C. Okoronkwo	2136				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DONAIS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (b) cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 October 2007</u> .						
	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayre, 1935 O.D. 11, 4	00 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	FR 1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National	Stage			
	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/661,017

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Remarks/Arguments

- 1. In response to communications filed on 10/05/2007. The following claims, claims 1-20, are presented for examination.
- 1.1 Applicant's arguments, pages 6-22, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-20 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 17-20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter - the claimed subject matter not being tangible. The claimed article of manufacture comprising a computer-readable medium and a means in the medium for utilizing claims hardware approach does not constitute statutory matter. It is unclear how the claimed invention can have a means in the medium for utilizing a hardware approach.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,017

Art Unit: 2136

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, because the term "approach" in claims 1-10 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "approach" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

The Examiner has assumed that the Applicant intended to recite a "method using software" and a "method using hardware" in place of the "software approach" and "hardware approach" used within the claims. This assumption definition was used in applying the reference of record. This rejection was argued in a previous response (04/11/2007) by the Applicant and the Examiner did not respond due to the application of new art, however the rejection is maintained in light of the arguments presented by the Applicant.

<u>Claims 11-16</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, because it does not make clear what is the end result if the execution og the code section is not successful.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,017

Art Unit: 2136

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chinwendu C. Okoronkwo whose telephone number is (571) 272 2662. The examiner can normally be reached on MWF 2:30 - 6:00, TR 9:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on (571) 272 4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

December 26, 2007

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

1/16/08